

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,058	QUE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ernest G. Therkorn	1723		

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn, (3) _____.

(2) Kitisri Sukhapinda, (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed cancelling the non-elected invention to place the case in condition for allowance. Kitisri Sukhapinda then authorized the cancellation by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)